

IGNORING THE EVIDENCE

Tim Houston's Reckless Plan to Frack for Gas

Two page summary of NOFRAC's new report

A PROBLEMATIC PROGRAM

The Province signed an agreement with Dalhousie University to administer a Subsurface Energy R&D Investment Program (SERDIP). The program is deeply problematic in its fundamental goal - to start an onshore fracked gas industry at a time when that industry is a known major contributor to climate change and a well-documented risk to human health, clean water resources, and community wellbeing.

\$ 30 MILLION TO ATTRACT COMPANIES TO FRACK FOR GAS

December 2025, the Houston Government announced that they would spend \$30 million to attract companies to explore, drill and frack for onshore gas in Nova Scotia. This followed the government's surprise declaration that Nova Scotia's economic future should be built on aggressive resource extraction including fossil fuels. Just 9 months earlier, the Houston government repealed Nova Scotia's bans on hydraulic fracturing and uranium exploration, without bothering to evaluate the risks or benefits.

THE AGREEMENT: RED FLAG WARNINGS

The Nova Scotia Fracking Resource and Action Coalition (NOFRAC) believes many provisions of the SERDIP Agreement are against the public interest and deserve a Red Flag Warning.



GOVERNMENT HAS FULL CONTROL OF INFORMATION

The Province, not Dalhousie, decides what information will be made public and what information will not be made public. Further, the parties agree to return, destroy or irrevocably erase confidential documents and material after receiving a written request. This could include information that might be used to establish liability for any harm caused during the program.



LIMITED ABILITY TO CORRECT MISINFORMATION

Confidentiality requirements and the Province's control of information could restrict the right of Dalhousie participants to publicly set the record straight on misinformation.



NO COMMITMENT TO TRANSPARENCY

Important information may not be available to the public. Dalhousie's public commitment to transparency is constrained by the Province's control over what may be released.



GENUINE COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION AND INFORMED CONSENT LACKING

There are no meaningful opportunities for public analysis, public participation or objection. Project aims include "creating community readiness," "preparing communities for potential energy development," and "building public confidence," but omit the importance of community consent.



FIRST NATIONS OPPOSITION TO FRACKING IGNORED

On March 28, 2025, the Assembly of Nova Scotia Mi'kmaw Chiefs reaffirmed a resolution passed in 2014 stating their opposition to hydraulic fracturing. The Agreement ignores this opposition.

READ THE FULL REPORT

WWW.NOFRAC.CA

TELL TIM HOUSTON NOT TO IGNORE THE EVIDENCE

Protecting Our Communities
NOFRAC
Nova Scotia Fracking Resource and Action Coalition



RISKS TO FRESH WATER SUPPLIES

Fracking uses and contaminates massive amounts of fresh water during normal operations. Nova Scotia experiences severe droughts. Contamination of wells and aquifers from drilling, fracking and millions of gallons of wastewater disposal have been documented in published studies.



HEALTH IMPACT STUDY DOES NOT STUDY HEALTH IMPACTS

The study as described in the Agreement will not actually evaluate health impacts of unconventional gas exploration. Instead, it plans to “prepare[s] communities for potential energy production by strengthening health literacy.”



EARTHQUAKE RISKS

Both fracking and underground wastewater disposal have been found to trigger earthquakes, including in areas with no previous experience of earthquakes.



RUSHED TIMELINE INCREASES RISKS

Reliable conclusions that will protect people and the environment cannot be drawn from data from drilling and fracking a handful of wells over several months. More than 2300 studies, carried out over two decades, overwhelmingly document multiple risks from the unconventional gas industry.



HOW WILL EXPLORATION ACTIVITIES BE REGULATED?

While the Agreement states that exploration agreements will be granted “conditional on regulatory compliance,” it contains no information about what regulations will apply to water use, wastewater handling, air emissions, noise, proximity to residences, schools, hospitals, nursing homes or wells, or time frames and standards for site cleanup.



HOW WILL EXPLORATION ACTIVITIES BE MONITORED AND ENFORCED?

There is no information in the Agreement outlining how monitoring and enforcement of regulations will be carried out. It appears that monitoring will cease at the end of the four-month study period. The Agreement makes no provision for monitoring water or air quality for the full range of contaminants associated with the industry before, during and after exploratory drilling and fracking.



REPUTATIONAL AND COMMERCIAL RISKS TO DALHOUSIE

The terms of the agreement restrict Dalhousie’s right to speak independently, to conduct open-ended studies, to release or freely speak about research results, and to freely exchange information with communities. Dal’s participation in this program on these terms will impact its reputation in the academic and research world and its relationship with communities, including the Mi’kmaq. Dalhousie’s participation in selecting companies for exploration work, along with their agreement to allow the Province full control of information, leave the university open to commercial risk as well.

THE BOTTOM LINE

Rather than building public confidence, the terms of the SERDIP agreement should increase public concerns and increase opposition to the Houston government’s plans to open Nova Scotia to an onshore gas industry including fracking.

READ THE FULL REPORT

WWW.NOFRAC.CA

TELL TIM HOUSTON NOT TO IGNORE THE EVIDENCE

